

1 Gregory J. Glaser (SBN 226706)
2 4399 Buckboard Drive, Box 423
3 Copperopolis, CA 95228
4 Ph. (925) 642-6651
5 Fx. (209) 729-4557
6 greg@gregglaser.com

7 Ray L. Flores II (SBN 233643)
8 11622 El Camino Real Suite 100
9 San Diego, CA 92130
10 Ph. (858) 367-0397
11 Fx. (888) 336-4037
12 rayfloreslaw@gmail.com

13 Attorneys for Petitioners

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **EASTERN DISTRICT - SACRAMENTO**

16 Joy Garner, individually and on behalf of The
17 Control Group; Joy Elisse Garner, individually
18 and as parent of J.S. and F.G.; Evan Glasco,
19 individually and as parent of F.G.; Traci Music,
20 individually and as parent of K.M. and J.S.,
21 Michael Harris, individually and as parent of S.H.,
22 Nicole Harris, individually and as parent of S.H.,

23 Petitioners,

24 v.

25 DONALD JOHN TRUMP, in his official capacity
26 as PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF
27 AMERICA,

28 Respondent.

Case No.: 2:20-CV-02470-WBS-JDP

[proposed] ORDER NUMBER THREE
GRANTING PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Date: February 22, 2021
Time: 1:30 PM
Courtroom: 5
Judge: William B. Shubb

1 This matter is before the Court on Petitioners' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, or in the
2 alternative Request for Order to Show Cause. Having considered the motion, including Petitioners'
3 Memorandum of Law, supporting evidence, matters subject to judicial notice, and Respondent's
4 opposition thereto, and having further considered: (1) the likelihood that Petitioners will succeed on
5 the merits of their claims; (2) the likelihood that Petitioners will suffer irreparable injury absent an
6 injunction; (3) whether injunctive relief would substantially harm Respondent; and (4) whether the
7 public interest would be furthered by an injunction, this Court concludes that Petitioners are entitled
8 to preliminary injunctive relief. The Court finds that Petitioners have satisfied all of the above
9 elements of proof.

10 THEREFORE, Petitioners' Request for Order to Show Cause is GRANTED.

11 The Court finds it is unconstitutional to discriminate against any American Citizen, by
12 denial of educational opportunities, employment, travel, or any other common right,
13 based solely upon their refusal to serve in medical experimentation, including but not limited to, the
14 Citizen's refusal to submit to vaccines undergoing phase 1 through phase 4 trials.

15 The legal burden has shifted to Respondent to numerically prove that benefits of vaccine
16 exposure, at any level of exposure, currently outweigh the short-term and long-term risks associated
17 with vaccine exposure.

18 To shift the burdens above, Respondent must provide a large data set comparing vaccinated
19 individuals to fully unvaccinated control group(s), so that this Court can numerically identify
20 risk/benefit ratios confirming whether or not vaccination is, in the aggregate, helping or damaging
21 public health. Respondent has the burden to calculate and provide this Court with expert-verified
22 data on the long-term effects of vaccine-triggered human immune-system alterations that can prove
23 to this Court numerically that vaccines are not a material cause of injured and dysfunctional
24 immune systems in America.

25 DONE AND ORDERED this ___ day of _____, 2021,

26 _____
27 Hon. _____
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE